

O

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOMADIX, INC.,) Case No. CV 09-08441 DDP (VBKx)
)
Plaintiff,) **ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION**
) **FOR REVIEW OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER**
v.) **& DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR**
) **REVIEW OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER**
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a)
Delaware corporation;) [Motions filed on May 6, 2011 and
WAYPORT, INC., a Delaware) May 9, 2011]
corporation; IBAHN)
CORPORATION, a Delaware)
corporation; GUEST-TEK)
INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT)
LTD., a Canadian)
corporation; GUEST-TEK)
INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT,)
INC.; a California)
corporation; LODGENET)
INTERACTIVE CORPORATION, a)
Delaware corporation;)
LODGENET STAYONLINE, INC., a)
Delaware corporation; ARUBA)
NETWORKS, INC.; a Delaware)
corporation; SUPERCLICK,)
INC., A Washington)
corporation; SUPERCLICK)
NETWORKS, INC., a Canadian)
corporation,)
Defendants.)

///

1 Presently before the court is Nomadix's Motion for Review of
2 the Magistrate's Order and Wayport, Inc., Hewlett-Packard Company,
3 iBAHN Corporation, Aruba Networks, Inc., and Solution Technologies
4 Ltd. (collectively "Defendants") Motion for Review of Magistrate
5 Order.

6 The Magistrate Judge's April 22, 2011, Order Re: Defendants'
7 Motion to Compel Settlement-Related Discovery (Dkt. No. 315) is not
8 clearly erroneous. The court adopts the order.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 13, 2011


DEAN D. PREGERSON
United States District Judge